



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/693,705	10/20/2000	Simon Robert Walmsley	NPA053US 7415 EXAMINER	
24011	7590 05/11/2004			
SILVERBR 393 DARLIN	OOK RESEARCH P	MARC COLEMAN, MARTHE Y		
BALMAIN,	2041		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTRALI			3661 ·	
			DATE MAILED: 05/11/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		1	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Antique Commence	09/693,705	WALMSLEY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAN INC. Co. Co.	Marthe Y Marc-Coleman	3661	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the (correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 h	<u>//arch 2004</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowards closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims	nnce except for formal matters, p Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213.	
4)⊠ Claim(s) 6 and 8-10 is/are pending in the appli	ication		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	William Golfoldord Born.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6 and 8-10</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	ited or b) objected to by the Exa	miner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	1)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:1. Certified copies of the priority documents	hour hear resided		
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		on No	
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).	
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic 			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3/8/04 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 6, and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

In regard to claims 6, and 8-10, "the printer being adapted to print the map and the coded data substantially simultaneously" is not in the specification";

"generating the indicating data based at least partially on sensing at least some of the coded data in the vicinity or the position" is not in the specification.

In light of the 112 rejection the claims are examined as best understood by the Examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 6, 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Conroy et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,686,705) in view of Dymetman et al. (Intelligent Paper; in Electronic Publishing Artistic Imaging, and Digital Typography)¹ and further in view of Dymetman (WO 99/50787)

In regard to claim 6, Conroy et al. discloses a system for enabling a user to designate, in a computer system (30 in Fig. 1), at least one geographic location (or point of interest P in Fig. 2) (see col. 18 lines 44-52), the system including:

- a surface on which is disposed a map of a geographic area (see abstract), the geographic area including the at least one geographic location (P) (see abstract and col. 3 lines 18-27; and col. 8 lines 55-60), the surface having coded data disposed thereon (see Figs. 2-9 and 12), the coded data being indicative of an identity of the map and a plurality points Px and Py of the map (whose geographical location represented by a unique combination of x and y coordinates, are coded and stored at specific addresses in the microprocessor (see col. 9 line 20-23 and col. 10 lines 56-65).

¹ The Intelligent Paper is dated March/April 1998.

Art Unit: 3661

- a processor 30 adapted to:
- identify at least some of the coded data (see abstract; col. 3 lines 18-27 and col.
 8 lines 55-60);
- determine an orientation, of at least some of the coded data (see col. 12 lines 20-30; col. 9 lines 20-23 and col. 10 lines 56-65);
- decode at least some of the coded data (see abstract and col. 9 lines 20-34); and
- generate, using at least some of the decoded coded data, indicating data indicative of the identity of the map and a position of the sensing device relative to the map (see col. 12 lines 20-22 and Fig 4 items 114A, 114B); and
- a computer system configured to receive the indicating data from the sensing device (stylus 20) (see abstract and col. 8 lines 55-58) and to identify, from the indicative data, the at least one geographic location (see abstract; col. 3 lines 18-27 and col. 8 lines 55-60).

Although Conroy et al. discloses a surface having coded data, and a printer, Conroy et al. fails to specifically disclose printing a map, and the coded data onto the surface, the map of the geographic area and the coded data being printed substantially simultaneously. While Conroy et al. discloses a stylus, Conroy et al. fails to disclose that the stylus has a camera for capturing images of at least some of the coded data and said stylus has a processor.

Dymetman et al. (Intelligent paper), on the other hand, discloses printing a map of a geographic location with coded data (see page 396 section 3, Technology wherein a map of Europe is printed on Intelligent paper having two layers of ink and printed on the paper support. The first layer, is the coded layer printed in invisible ink and the second layer is printed in conventional colored inks and is visible to the user).

Dymetman et al. further discloses an image sensor for capturing images of at least

Art Unit: 3661

some of the coded data when the sensing device is placed in an operative position relative to the surface (see page 393 last paragraph; page 397 second paragraph; and page 398 last paragraph).

Dymetman et al. fails to disclose that said pointer or sensing device has a processor.

Dymetman (WO 99/50787) discloses a sensing device having a camera and a processor (see Fig. 8 and page 3 lines 5-8; page 12 lines 3-28 and page 13 lines 14-24).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize Dymetman et al.'s map printed on intelligent paper with coded data, the pointer's camera, and the processor of Dymetman (WO 99/50787) with Conroy et al.'s position locating method because it would provide an interactive map information exchange wherein a user would be able to perform similar function on a printed map as he would on screen.

In regard to claim 8, Conroy et al. discloses the map contains geographic features of the geographic area (see col. 18 lines 44-52).

In regard to claim 9, Conroy et al. discloses a map control page including at least one printed map control (see col. 5 lines 12-22 and col. 19 lines 55-57); wherein the computer system is configured to an action associated with the map control when the map control is designated by the user using the sensing device (see abstract lines 22-28 and col. 8 lines 55-60).

Art Unit: 3661

In regard to claim 10, Conroy et al. meets the limitations of claim 9, but fails to disclose that the action is one of printing a map of a designated geographic region.

Dymetman et al. discloses that the action is one of printing a map of a designated geographic region (see Europe map on page 396 Technology).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize Dymetman et al.'s map printed on intelligent paper with coded data with Conroy et al.'s position locating method/system because it would provide an interactive map information exchange wherein a user would be able to perform similar function on a printed map as he would on screen map.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed on 3/8/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Concerning amended claim 6, Applicant overcomes partly the 112 rejection by replacing the terms - -sense- - to "generate" and - -interaction data- - to "indicating data".

Concerning the 103 rejection, Applicant intends to traverse this rejection in an appeal.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marthe Y Marc-Coleman whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 3661

(703) 305-4970. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 9:30 AM - 8:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tomas G Black can be reached on (703) 305-8233. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Patent Examiner

Marthe Marc-Coleman

Page 7

May 5, 2004